

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,087	02/09/2002	Chien-Tzu Hou	02118-URSD	4203
33804	7590 06/27/2003			
SUPREME PATENT SERVICES			EXAMINER	
	CE BOX 2339 A, CA 95070		SOWARD, IDA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/073,087	HOU, CHIEN-TZU		
2.0	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ida M Soward	2822		
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicati Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM		
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 klX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicateriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be a complete of the specified above, the maximum statutor be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiltion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the statute, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>09 February 2002</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for				
Dispositio	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
•	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the applic				
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
	on Papers	rominor			
,	he specification is objected to by the Ex he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		uminor		
10)1	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	<del>-</del> · · ·			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are require				
12)∏ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	• •			
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
•	All b) Some * c) None of:				
•	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority doc</li> </ol>	uments have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been receiv nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage		
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for de	·			
•	☐ The translation of the foreign langua				
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	• ,			
Attachment(	•	· -			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2822

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the application filed February 9, 2002.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not understood as to how a PCB sandwiched between upper and lower plates; a decoder and a controller is a structure for packing/unpacking IC for preserving and updating data within the IC.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oldendorf et al. (6,166,324) in view of Elliott (US 2003/0032486 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,087

Art Unit: 2822

As best understood, Oldendorf et al. teach a structure for packing/unpacking PCBs; an upper plate 30; a lower plate 40; a PCB (not shown) sandwiched between the upper plate and the lower plate, wherein the upper plate has a trough 32 and the lower plate is detachably connected with the upper plate and forming an electrical connection with the upper plate; and interface 52 & 62 detachably inserted into the trough (Figures 1-2, cols. 3-5, lines 64-67, 1-67 and 1-8). However, Oldendorf et al. fail to teach a decoder; a controller and buttons. Elliot teaches a decoder; a controller 56 and buttons 84 (Figure 1A, pages 3-4, paragraphs [0046]-[0057]). Since Oldendorf et al. and Elliot are from the same field of endeavor (encasing a PCB), the purpose disclosed by Elliott would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Oldendorf et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure for packing/unpacking PCBs of Oldendorf et al. by incorporating the encased PCB of Elliott to protect the data (abstract).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents have been cited to further show the state of the art with respects to packing structures:

Shu (5,525,839)

Takubo et al. (5,220,486).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,087

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims June 19, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800